

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/715,844	11/19/2003	Debra A. Schwinn	1579-869	3968
23117	7590 12/15/200		EXAMINER	
	ANDERHYE, PC	GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE		
	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR I, VA 22203	FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/715,844	SCHWINN, DEBF	SCHWINN, DEBRA A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeanine A. Goldberg	1634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRIBUTION OF THE MAILING DEPTH OF THE MAI	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. c, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed NONTHS from the mailing date of this control (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, .			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N	lovember 2003					
· —		s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
تــارت	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims	- Pario Quayro, roso d					
•	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alaction requirement					
0)[Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have be	en received in this National	l Stage			
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies r	not received.				
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/715,844

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7, drawn to a method of detecting nucleic acid variation in alpha1aAR gene, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 8-17, 21-24, drawn to a method of detecting disease by detecting mutation in alpha1aAR, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - III. Claim 18-20, drawn to antibody or compounds that bind polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- A) Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibodies may be used in a materially different method, including treatment methods, for example.
- B) The inventions of Group I and II are patentably distinct methods because they each have different objectives, different uses, different reagents and different method steps. The method of Group I is for detecting nucleic acids. Alternatively, the method

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/715.844

Art Unit: 1634

of Group II is for detecting polypeptides. The nucleic acid of Group I is composed of nucleotides linked in phospodiester bonds and arranged in space as a double helix. The polypeptide of Group II is composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a number of different tertiary structures including alpha helices. beta-pleated sheets, and hydrophobic loops (transmembrane domain). Furthermore, the distinct steps and products require separate and distinct searches. Therefore the methods are distinct over one another.

Page 3

- C) Group I and III are patentable distinct inventions because the antibodies of Group III is not relied upon in the method of Group I. Instead Group I uses nucleic aicds. Therefore, the inventions are novel and unobvious over one another.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the different classifications and their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Further a search of each of these inventions would not be coextensive of a search for each of the other inventions.

Notice for Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of

Art Unit: 1634

the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,844 Page 5

Art Unit: 1634

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-0743. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272- 0745.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Central Fax Number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Jeanine Goldberg

Primary Examiner
December 12, 2005